

April 13, 2022

The Honorable Ron Wyden Chairman Senate Finance Committee Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Richard Neal Chairman House Ways & Means Committee Washington, DC 20515 The Honorable Mike Crapo Ranking Member Senate Finance Committee Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Kevin Brady Ranking Member House Ways & Means Committee Washington, DC 20515

RE: Statement for the Hearing Record: The President's 2022 Trade Policy Agenda

Dear Chairman Wyden, Ranking Member Crapo, Chairman Neal, and Ranking Member Brady,

The Americans for Free Trade coalition, a broad alliance of American businesses, trade organizations, and workers united against tariffs, respectfully submits this written statement to include in the public record of the House Ways & Means Committee and Senate Finance Committee's ("the Committees") 2022 Trade Policy Agenda hearings, which took place on March 30 and 31, respectively. We appreciate the Committees holding hearings on this important matter.

By way of background, <u>Americans for Free Trade</u> represents every part of the U.S. economy including manufacturers, farmers and agribusinesses, powersports, retailers, technology companies, service suppliers, natural gas and oil companies, importers, exporters, and other supply chain stakeholders. Collectively, we employ tens of millions of Americans through our vast supply chains.

As companies in the U.S. continue to recover from the global pandemic, face supply chain disruptions, and operate in an inflationary economic environment, we continue to call upon the administration to use more strategic tools to address China's unfair trade practices without further damaging U.S. competitiveness. To date, U.S. Customs and Border Protection has assessed more than \$130 billion dollars in tariffs from U.S. companies who import products from China. These taxes increase the cost of doing business in the United States and place a financial burden on U.S businesses – negatively impacting their ability to invest in their companies, hire more American workers, innovate cutting-edge goods and services and remain competitive globally.

We continue to call for an end to the China 301 tariffs that have had a disproportionate economic impact on American companies, consumers, and workers and that have failed to change China's unfair trade practices. But until the tariffs are lifted, we believe reinstituting a fully retroactive section 301 exclusion process for all covered products is critical to providing interim relief for

U.S. businesses. According to a recent Moody's Investor Service Report, the tariffs "hit American businesses and consumers hardest," with China absorbing only 7.6 percent of the tariffs "while the rest of the tab was picked up by Americans." Further, recent articles have highlighted that the tariffs are having a modest but real impact on inflationary pressures. A new, comprehensive, transparent, and fair exclusions process would help alleviate the economic burden on American businesses and consumers.

We welcomed USTR's announcement in October to open an exclusions process for a limited set of products. However, that process was only available to 549 products, which is approximately 1 percent of the original exclusion applications. USTR recently announced the reinstatement of 342 of the 549 exclusions, but it did not explain why the remaining requests were denied or why the exclusions were retroactive only to October 12, 2021. While these 342 reinstated exclusions are an important first step, a more robust process is needed to provide meaningful relief. This view is shared by at least 141 bipartisan House members and 41 bipartisan Senators who recently wrote to Ambassador Tai urging USTR to open a broader exclusions process. During the Trade Agenda hearings, many Members of the Committees asked Ambassador Tai whether USTR intended to make available a broader exclusion process. Ambassador Tai responded that she was happy to discuss the issue further with Members of Congress and that USTR would continue to consider a broader exclusions process if circumstances warranted it. These responses provided no insight to the Committees or the public regarding USTR's intentions for opening a broader exclusions process or what circumstances might prompt USTR to do so.

Absent a clear indication from USTR that it will use its authority to provide a comprehensive, transparent, and fair exclusions process, Congress must act. We therefore urge the Committees to include language in a conferenced China competition bill that would require USTR to reinstitute a comprehensive, transparent, and fair exclusions process – with retroactivity – for all products subject to the section 301 tariffs, not just an arbitrary, narrow subset of products.

As the Committees may also be aware, List 1 of the China 301 tariffs is set to expire in July unless USTR receives a petition for a continuation of the tariffs, which we understand is almost certain to occur. Such a request would trigger a review process under the statute, requiring USTR to examine the effectiveness of the tariffs in achieving their objectives and other actions that could be taken, as well as the effects of such actions on the U.S. economy, including consumers.

While USTR would only be required to conduct this review with respect to List 1, we have written to Ambassador Tai urging USTR to include all four tariff lists in its review. A single review for all four tariff lists would create administrative efficiencies for USTR and American companies. It would also permit a more holistic assessment of whether the tariffs achieved their stated objectives and provide a full picture of the impact the tariffs have had to the U.S. economy, as well as American businesses, workers, and consumers. It would also give USTR the opportunity to consider whether the 301 tariffs represent the best path forward considering U.S. companies continue to face many of the same challenges with respect to trade with China today as they did when the tariffs were first imposed.

2

-

¹ See the attached list of articles discussing the section 301 China tariffs' contributions to U.S. inflation woes.

Further, it is essential that the review process be fully transparent and include a public comment period and public hearings to ensure that the Administration gives all stakeholders – including stakeholders who pay the tariffs –the opportunity to provide input. A review that does not include the American businesses, workers, farmers, and consumers burdened by the tariffs would be inconsistent with the commitments USTR has made in its Transparency Principles, congressional testimony, and the 2022 Trade Policy Agenda and 2021 Annual Report regarding public outreach, engagement, and transparency.

We strongly support a fully transparent review of the Section 301 tariffs on products from China, including a comprehensive economic assessment of the tariffs' impact on American businesses, workers, farmers, and consumers. We urge the Committees to inquire about USTR's plans for reviewing the tariffs, including timing and opportunities for stakeholder input. We believe the review presents an important opportunity to assess the tariffs and determine whether this Administration will continue them as part of its China trade policy.

We appreciate the Committees' continued engagement on these critical issues and urge it to continue weighing in with the Administration to ensure that destructive tariffs are lifted, and that a new and more effective approach to addressing China's unfair trading practices is adopted. We thank the Committees for holding these hearings and look forward to continuing to work with you.

Sincerely,

Accessories Council ACT | The App Association Agriculture Transportation Coalition (AgTC) ALMA, International (Association of Loudspeaker Manufacturing and Acoustics) American Apparel & Footwear Association (AAFA) American Association of Exporters and Importers (AAEI) American Association of Port Authorities American Bakers Association American Bridal & Prom Industry Association (ABPIA) American Chemistry Council American Clean Power Association American Coatings Association, Inc. (ACA) American Down and Feather Council American Fly Fishing Trade Association American Home Furnishings Alliance American Lighting Association American Petroleum Institute American Pyrotechnics Association

American Rental Association American Seed Trade Association American Specialty Toy Retailing Association American Trucking Association Arizona Technology Council Arkansas Grocers and Retail Merchants Association **Association For Creative Industries** Association for PRINT Technologies Association of American Publishers Association of Equipment Manufacturers (AEM) Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers Auto Care Association Beer Institute BSA | The Software Alliance Business Alliance for Customs Modernization California Retailers Association Can Manufacturers Institute Carolina Loggers Association Chemical Industry Council of Delaware (CICD) Coalition of New England Companies for Trade (CONECT) Coalition of Services Industries (CSI)

Colorado Retail Council

Columbia River Customs Brokers and Forwarders Assn.

Computer & Communications Industry Association (CCIA)

Computing Technology Industry Association (CompTIA)

Consumer Brands Association
Consumer Technology Association

Council of Fashion Designers of America (CFDA)

CropLife America

Customs Brokers & Freight Forwarders Assn. of Washington State

Customs Brokers & Freight Forwarders of Northern California

Distilled Spirits Council of the United States

Electronic Transactions Association

Energy Workforce & Technology Council

Experiential Designers and Producers
Association

Fashion Accessories Shippers Association (FASA)

Fashion Jewelry & Accessories Trade Association

Flexible Packaging Association

Florida Ports Council Florida Retail Federation

Footwear Distributors and Retailers of America (FDRA)

Fragrance Creators Association Game Manufacturers Association Gemini Shippers Association

Georgia Retailers Global Chamber®

Global Cold Chain Alliance Greeting Card Association Halloween Industry Association Home Fashion Products Association Home Furnishings Association Household and Commercial Products

Association

Idaho Retailers Association

Illinois Retail Merchants Association Independent Office Products & Furniture Dealers Association (IOPFDA) Indiana Retail Council

Information Technology Industry Council (ITI) International Association of Amusement Parks and Attractions (IAAPA)

International Bottled Water Association (IBWA)
International Foodservice Distributors Association

International Housewares Association

International Warehouse and Logistics Association

International Wood Products Association

ISSA - The Worldwide Cleaning Industry Association

Jeweler's Vigilance Committee Juice Products Association (JPA)

Juvenile Products Manufacturers Association

Leather and Hide Council of America

Licensing Industry Merchandisers' Association Los Angeles Customs Brokers and Freight Forwarders Assn.

Louisiana Retailers Association

Maine Grocers & Food Producers Association

Maine Lobster Dealers' Association

Maritime Exchange for the Delaware River and Bay

Maryland Retailers Association Michigan Chemistry Council Michigan Retailers Association Minnesota Retailers Association Missouri Retailers Association

Motor & Equipment Manufacturers Association

Motorcycle Industry Council

NAPIM (National Association of Printing Ink Manufacturers)

National Association of Chain Drug Stores (NACDS) National Association of Chemical Distributors (NACD) National Association of Foreign-Trade Zones (NAFTZ)

National Association of Home Builders National Association of Music Merchants

National Association of Trailer Manufacturers (NATM)

National Confectioners Association National Council of Chain Restaurants

National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA)

National Fisheries Institute National Foreign Trade Council National Grocers Association

National Lumber and Building Material Dealers

Association

National Marine Manufacturers Association

National Restaurant Association

National Retail Federation

National Ski & Snowboard Retailers

Association

National Sporting Goods Association

Natural Products Association

New Jersey Retail Merchants Association

North American Association of Food

Equipment Manufacturers (NAFEM)

North American Association of Uniform

Manufacturers and Distributors (NAUMD)

North Carolina Retail Merchants Association

Ohio Council of Retail Merchants

Outdoor Industry Association

Pacific Coast Council of Customs Brokers and

Freight Forwarders Assns. Inc. Pennsylvania Retailers' Association

PeopleforBikes

Personal Care Products Council

Pet Food Institute

Pet Industry Joint Advisory Council Plumbing Manufacturers International

Power Tool Institute (PTI)
PRINTING United Alliance

Promotional Products Association International

Recreational Off-Highway Vehicle Association

Retail Association of Maine

Retail Council of New York State

Retail Industry Leaders Association

Retailers Association of Massachusetts

RISE (Responsible Industry for a Sound

Environment)

RV Industry Association

San Diego Customs Brokers and Forwarders

Assn.

SEMI

Semiconductor Industry Association (SIA)

Snowsports Industries America

Software & Information Industry Association (SIIA)

South Dakota Retailers Association

Specialty Equipment Market Association

Specialty Vehicle Institute of America

Sports & Fitness Industry Association

TechNet

Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA)

Texas Water Infrastructure Network

The Airforwarders Association

The Fertilizer Institute

The Hardwood Federation

The Toy Association

Travel Goods Association

Truck & Engine Manufacturers Association (EMA)

United States Council for International Business

United States Fashion Industry Association

US Global Value Chain Coalition US-China Business Council

Vinyl Institute

Virginia Retail Merchants Association

Virginia-DC District Export Council (VA-DC DEC)

Washington Retail Association

Window and Door Manufacturers Association

World Pet Association, Inc. (WPA)

AMERICANS FOR FREE TRADE

Articles Related to Tariffs' Effect on Inflation:

- <u>Larry Sommers on potential recession: 'Nothing is inevitable or certain in economics'</u> *The Hill* | April 10, 2022
- For inflation relief, the United States should look to trade liberalization Peterson Institute for International Economics | March 30, 2022
 - Opinion: Biden wants more price-reducing 'competition.' Except in this one circumstance.

The Washington Post | Feb. 8, 2022

- Trump's China tariffs continue to vex small Minnesota importers Star Tribune | Feb. 6, 2022
- Will inflation see off the Trump tariffs? *Vogue Business* | January 5, 2022
- Retreat From Globalization Adds to Inflation Risks
 The Wall Street Journal | December 5, 2021
- Facing Down a Surprising Inflation Surge Harvard Kennedy School | Dec. 1, 2021
- PPI's Trade Fact of the Week: Trump tariff increases contribution to inflation: ~0.5% Progressive Policy Institute Newsletter
- <u>Inflationary Effects of Trade Disputes with China</u> Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco | February 25, 2019

Administration Comments on Tariffs & Inflation

• Rolling back U.S.-China tariffs would ease inflation in the U.S., former Treasury secretary says

CNBC | Nov. 30, 2021

• The inflation-fighting step Biden has yet to take

CNN | Nov. 21, 2021



• Janet Yellen Interview with "Face The Nation"

Nov. 14, 2021

• Yellen says reciprocal lowering of tariffs could help ease inflation

Reuters | Nov. 1, 2021

Recent AFT coalition member pieces:

2 Years Since Trade Deal with China, Tariffs Aren't Working for American Businesses Entrepreneur, MSN | Feb. 11 2022

Trade Wars Worsen Shipping Crisis

Townhall | Sept. 7, 2021

Joe Bell: Ongoing trade war limits recovery for U.S. businesses

Tribune Review | June 23, 2021

Repeal Tariffs to Boost the Economy, Help Small Businesses

RealClearMarkets | March 25, 2021

Removing tariffs is key to economic relief

Washington Examiner, Feb. 24, 2021